# **Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary**

# Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30
Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-05-24
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-29
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-03-27
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-08-14

Date of Last Revision: 2012-08-14

**Agency:** 010 - Department of the Interior **Bureau:** 04 - Bureau of Land Management

**Investment Part Code: 01** 

**Investment Category:** 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: BLM - IT Support for Resources and Mineral Land Use Planning (ePlanning)

2. Unique Investment Identifier (UII): 010-000000156

Section B: Investment Detail

1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.

ePlanning is a mission-critical program that will support DOI/BLM strategic goals by streamlining land-use planning and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) procedure requirements. To protect the Nation's natural, cultural, and heritage resources, ePlanning will provide web-based access to cultural and historic information, allowing easy public involvement in federal land-use decisions. To manage resources, promote responsible use and sustain a dynamic economy, ePlanning will enable systematic updates of land use plans while accelerating and improving the quality of plan information, decisions, published products, and public review process. To promote the provision of recreation opportunities for America, ePlanning will allow recreation uses to be analyzed by both decision makers and the public, thereby allowing for effective allocation of public lands under multiple or constrained uses. Finally, communities depend on reliable planning by federal agencies for consistent and dependable economic growth. ePlanning will serve communities with 24/7 access to land-use allocation decisions. Collaboration for developing land-use allocation decisions under NEPA and access to decisions derived from the planning process will also serve communities. ePlanning is a standalone IT system with no direct dependencies on any other BLM system.

2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in

# support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.

ePlanning ensures BLM s ability to meet the goals set out in the E-Gov Act and the President s Transparency and Open Government Memo by providing BLM land use planning & other NEPA activities on-line in an easy to read format, available 24/7 to the public as well as federal, state, local and tribal governments. On-line commenting capabilities allows for easy collaborating with all interested publics and the BLM. ePlanning's centralized database saves the government storage cost and employee time by not needing to search for documents & enables them to do their jobs faster. NEPA projects will be aligned with GPRA. ePlanning is a mission-critical program that will support DOI/BLM strategic goals by streamlining land-use planning and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) procedure requirements. The specific tools that will aid the BLM in accomplishing its mission-wide goals comprise ePlanning and consist of a suite of various integrated commercially off the shelf (COTS) software tools that support the following functions for NEPA and planning: Establish and follow predefined workflow that facilitate the writing, editing, sharing, peer-to-peer review, and approval of multi-authored project documents Publish documents in multiple formats such as web, CD-ROM, paper or PDF Accept public comments directly into a database to encourage comments that are specific and directly related to text content. View maps related to the data Process and analyze public comments 
Create dynamic and interactive links between textual information and the geospatial data supporting and describing the text Take advantage of a centralized library of multiple document templates and other items 

Identify and reuse content Manage records under NARA compliance.

# 3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

-Server technology refresh. -Enhancements to the project website prevent copying of project folders and overwriting of documents, prompt users to populate required fields during project creation, saves work while in progress, and sends email notification of new project assignments. Options for viewing, searching, and inputting information allow navigation between screens, opens documents from within messages, restores deleted documents, imports comments/ multiple documents, and displays all authored projects. -Enhancements to system navigation distinguish between active, completed, and closed projects, sorts data by criteria, requires confirmation to delete documents, simplifies preparation of the Public Comment Form, permits viewing of multiple comment submissions, and inputs of multiple documents/maps. -Software enhancements improve the efficiency with which data may be added, saves information in text fields for future use, and aesthetically improves document appearance.

## 4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

Functions planned for Phase 2.0.3 include: Public Feedback The ability to gather feedback from the public regarding how the Front Office and Comment Wizard worked for them. Intra-Agency Comment Periods A automated process for gathering and addressing comments from within the BLM, but outside of the ePlanning team members, prior to publishing to the public. Mailing Lists The ability to obtain mailing lists of comment submitters who have requested future communications. National Environmental Policy Act

(NEPA) Screening A screen process to help NEPA project creators determine what type of project they need to create in ePlanning to fulfill the BLM s regulatory needs. Date Last Changed Display the date the website was published to the Front Office. Content Reuse Implement additional structure to the already existing process to allow BLM management the ability to determine what and how content should be copied and/or referenced with published documents. Project Archiving The ability to extract a project with all its folders and document structure in tact as well as all project and document metadata. Publish ePlanning Document to Digital Media The ability to publish interactive documents to CDs or DVDs with section indexing allowing for easy searches and navigating for desired sections without scrolling though the document. Records Management and Retention Enforcement of the BLMs project /document lifecycle rules (e.g., when should the system not allow modifications to projects and/or documents). Geospatial Mapping to Approved Resource Management Plan (ARMP) The ability to view decision text from approved RMPs in a map depicting the affected location. In addition steps are being taken in FY12 to provide some simplification to ePlanning as recommended by users in a 2009 survey ePlanning simplification actions that are being taken include: Export Info to Document modification Project Menu active within a project folder Copy ePlanning (EPL) Project Name to Subject field Permission based access to epl project folder properties Rework the My Tasks filtering E-mail notification of assignment to a project Create a new My Projects folder Create a new My Review Tasks folder Restructure the workflows Populate new projects with document templates. Remove unused features of Project Creation screen. GIS interoperability function is to be improved and implemented.

5. Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.

2005-07-11

## Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

| Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding                             |                    |            |            |            |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | PY-1<br>&<br>Prior | PY<br>2011 | CY<br>2012 | BY<br>2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planning Costs:  | \$1.9              | \$0.0      | \$0.0      | \$0.0      |  |  |  |  |  |
| DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:                            | \$9.3              | \$1.0      | \$0.0      | \$0.0      |  |  |  |  |  |
| DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:                       | \$1.7              | \$0.1      | \$0.0      | \$0.0      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):                       | \$12.9             | \$1.1      | 0          | 0          |  |  |  |  |  |
| O & M Costs:   | \$6.1              | \$2.0      | \$2.4      | \$2.4      |  |  |  |  |  |
| O & M Govt. FTEs:  | \$0.5              | \$0.3      | \$0.3      | \$0.3      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):               | \$6.6              | \$2.3      | \$2.7      | \$2.7      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):                          | \$19.5             | \$3.4      | \$2.7      | \$2.7      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Govt. FTE costs:                                     | \$2.2              | \$0.4      | \$0.3      | \$0.3      |  |  |  |  |  |
| # of FTE rep by costs:                                     | 3                  | 3          | 3          | 3          |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                    |            |            |            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$) |                    | \$0.0      | \$0.0      |            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)  |                    | 0.00%      | 0.00%      |            |  |  |  |  |  |

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

no change

#### Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

3

|               | Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy |                          |  |  |                     |                 |                                     |      |        |                |                                   |
|---------------|--|--------------------------|--|--|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|------|--------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Contract Type | EVM Required                                   | Contracting<br>Agency ID | Procurement<br>Instrument<br>Identifier (PIID) | Indefinite<br>Delivery<br>Vehicle<br>(IDV)<br>Reference ID | IDV<br>Agency<br>ID | Solicitation ID | Ultimate<br>Contract Value<br>(\$M) | Туре | PBSA ? | Effective Date | Actual or<br>Expected<br>End Date |
| Awarded       | 1422   | INL10PD0399              | INL10PC00595                                   | 1422   |                     |                 |                                     |      |        |                |                                   |

# 2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

NOTE: For the support of this system, there are prior contracts that have been closed, and there will be future contracts competed. The sum of the total values of the contracts differs from the summary of spending table also because some contracts scope spans multiple projects.

Page 6 / 9 of Section 300 Date of Last Revision: 2012-08-14 Exhibit 300 (2011)

# **Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report**

**Section A: General Information** 

**Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-05-24** 

#### Section B: Project Execution Data

| Table II.B.1 Projects |                       |  |                       |                               |                                    |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Project ID            | Project<br>Name       | Project<br>Description   | Project<br>Start Date | Project<br>Completion<br>Date | Project<br>Lifecycle<br>Cost (\$M) |  |  |  |  |
| eP-201101             | ePlanning Phase 2.0.3 | ePlanning version 2.0.3 is the last phase of ePlanning development, and is Phase 3 of ePlanning version 2. The functions included in this final phase are: Intra-Agency Comment Periods, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Screening, Date Last Changed, Project Archiving, Content Reuse, Publish ePlanning Document to Digital Media, Records management and Retention, Geospatial Mapping to the Approved Resource Management Plan (ARMP). |                       |                               |                                    |  |  |  |  |

### **Activity Summary**

Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities

| Project ID | Name | Total Cost of Project<br>Activities<br>(\$M) | End Point Schedule<br>Variance<br>(in days) | End Point Schedule<br>Variance (%) | Cost Variance<br>(\$M) | Cost Variance<br>(%) | Total Planned Cost<br>(\$M) | Count of<br>Activities |
|------------|------|--|---|------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
|------------|------|--|---|------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|

eP-201101 ePlanning Phase 2.0.3

| Key Deliverables |               |             |                            |                              |                           |                       |                                |                          |
|------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Project Name     | Activity Name | Description | Planned Completion<br>Date | Projected<br>Completion Date | Actual Completion<br>Date | Duration<br>(in days) | Schedule Variance<br>(in days) | Schedule Variance<br>(%) |

NONE

# Section C: Operational Data

| Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics  |                   |  |                          |            |               |               |               |                        |  |
|---|-------------------|--|--------------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------|--|
| Metric Description  | Unit of Measure   | FEA Performance<br>Measurement<br>Category Mapping         | Measurement<br>Condition | Baseline   | Target for PY | Actual for PY | Target for CY | Reporting<br>Frequency |  |
| % of ePlanning public<br>users satisfied with<br>online review and<br>comment capability.                                   | Percentage point  | Customer Results -<br>Customer Benefit                     | Over target              | 65.000000  | 75.000000     | 65.000000     | 75.000000     | Semi-Annual            |  |
| Land Use Planning<br>teams using<br>ePlanning will be able<br>to complete the<br>process more<br>efficiently.               | Years             | Process and Activities - Productivity                      | Over target              | 5.000000   | 3.000000      | 5.000000      | 3.000000      | Semi-Annual            |  |
| Number of field offices using the standard templates for LUPs and NEPA documents.   | Number of offices | Technology -<br>Information and Data                       | Over target              | 7.000000   | 18.000000     | 15.000000     | 18.000000     | Monthly                |  |
| Less data calls to the Field Offices from the public because GIS data will be posted on the web pages managed by ePlanning. | Number of calls   | Technology -<br>Information and Data                       | Over target              | 100.000000 | 60.000000     | 100.000000    | 60.000000     | Semi-Annual            |  |
| Field Office's will be<br>able to process ADP's<br>faster when using the<br>ePlanning software                              | Number of days    | Mission and Business<br>Results - Services for<br>Citizens | Over target              | 60.000000  | 45.000000     | 60.000000     | 45.000000     | Semi-Annual            |  |